



Task Force Meeting #7 – October 19, 2009

Small Group Worksheets, Task Force Member Evaluation Forms and Comment Cards from the public

We acknowledge receipt of these comments as they pertain to the New Braunfels Outer Loop Study. These comments will be documented along with questions received regarding the study and will be distributed as part of the questions.

| From | Comment |
|--|---|
| <p>C-1</p> <p>Worksheet</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Suzy Collins • Tipton Ross • Cheryl Fisher • Roy Linnartz • Vincent Schwab • Lenny Llerena | <p><i>What did you find helpful about the information:</i> It made us realize how much we don't know. We found the maps confusing</p> <p><i>What specific questions does your group have about the screening of Segment A?:</i> We need one map with the viable options. Either that or show the 1st map with date and 2nd map w/changes & why but make it easier to read the step progression</p> |
| <p>C-2</p> <p>Worksheet</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bennie Bock • Gary Cobb • Marilyn Altwein • Karen Dietz | <p><i>What were the key points from the information on the general screening process?:</i> We went right to the back of sheet in interest of time.</p> <p><i>What specific questions does your group have about screening Segment A?</i> Would like CURRENT info to be the basis for final discussion – esp. Mission Hills area</p> |
| <p>C-3</p> <p>Worksheet</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Jim Folbre • Rod Smith • Rusty Brockman • Alicia Herrera • Donna Jones | <p><i>What were the key points from the information on the general screening process?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - #ing scheme between screen levels - Segments drop out and then come back in later phases w/no explanation <p><i>What did you find helpful about the information?:</i> Trade offs are complicated but major important factors are not thoroughly explained & therefore open to challenge/questioning.</p> <p><i>What questions do you still have about the screening process in general?</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Data supplied does not obviously support the conclusions – problem with documentation - Upfront assumptions concerning facility type (i.e. – freeway) limits the alternatives (geometry; ROW width) |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>- All MAPO Mtgs info needs to be shared</p> <p><i>What specific questions does your group have about screening of Segment A?:</i> Clearer explanation of segments that were eliminated – particularly if they came back (w/revisions) in later levels of screening</p> <p><i>What specific questions does your group have about Segments B-F?:</i> Will same types of issues/questions be raised for <u>B-F</u> segments as we found for <u>A</u> segment 10/19/09</p> |
| <p>C-4</p> <p>Evaluation Form</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anonymous | <p>The rules and regulations of Guadalupe Co. development were not obtained as asked at previous meeting.</p> |
| <p>C-5</p> <p>Evaluation Form</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Karen Dietz | <p>Perhaps we need more time – at least 3- min more</p> |
| <p>C-6</p> <p>Evaluation Form</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Marilyn Altwein | <p>Farm & Ranch areas are the basis of our great state’s wealth. We are chipping away at this wealth asphalt by asphalt.</p> |
| <p>C-7</p> <p>Evaluation Form</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vincent Schwab | <p>Need to explain that the maps are a snapshot of status at the time the sector was evaluated and the routes changed due the interaction with other sector.</p> |
| <p>C-8</p> <p>Evaluation Form</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cheryl Fisher | <p>Maps were confusing and not explained well. Will not recommend to proceed to B until A is well understood by the public</p> |
| <p>C-9</p> <p>Evaluation Form</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Art | <p>The consultants need to have answers quicker. I know they can not remember everything but should be able to handle the questions. On the maps and the finding that were used or discussed. But all in all the consultants and facilitator doing a great job.</p> |
| <p>C-10</p> <p>Evaluation Form</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Anonymous | <p>Good to have discussion in small groups. I prefer more time in small groups</p> |
| <p>C-11</p> | <p>Be more flexible with time restrictions for meetings</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Evaluation Form</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Donna Jones | |
| <p>C-12</p> <p>Evaluation Form</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Martin Levett | <p>This is the second request to obtain the Project Authorization document that is shown as complete in the "Project Initiation" phase of the Project Development Process, as previously requested in writing on Augusts' Task Force comment card.</p> |
| <p>C-13</p> <p>Evaluation Form</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brett Reynolds | <p>The opening presentation was somewhat repetitive. I realize members of the public who did not attend earlier meetings may not have heard this information before, but the committee cannot waste its very limited time sitting through a re-hash of the same information for the benefit of the audience each week.</p> <p>The attempt at audio-visual presentation for the benefit of everyone is laudable but may not be practical because the presenter needs access to multiple maps/documents at the same time to answer questions.</p> <p>I do not believe Rudy was as prepared as he should have been for this important meeting. I have a suggestion to facilitate answering the committee's questions more readily at upcoming meetings about the screening process:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Set up a table or tables for Rudy and others to spread out the materials they need to access at the same time to answer the questions. (If the public cannot see everything on the screen at the same time, tough. The committee has work to do and the committee is supposed to have the materials with them.) 2. Use a three-person panel to address the questions. I would like to see Rudy, Cale (who is always well-prepared and has an excellent memory of facts and events), and Greg Malatek. Between the three of them, I am sure they can address all of the committee's questions as long as they have the ability to spread out and access the relevant maps and documents on a table in front of them <p>As an example, Tom Hornseth effectively answered a question for my small group by reference to three maps at once. He could not have done so as easily by using one map on the video screen. The presenters at future meetings will be more effective in addressing the complexity of the screening process if they can have all relevant materials at their fingertips.</p> |
| <p>C-14</p> <p>Comment Card</p> | <p>I oppose the recommended route</p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| Diane Scharnhorst | |
| C-15 Comment Card <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stacy Graumel | <p>Experts need to be experts and be prepared at all times to answer questions.</p> <p>Announcements for the meetings need to be more clearly made to the public.</p> <p>City Council needs to be advised to perhaps be a part of all of this creation discussion and meetings.</p> |
| C-16 Comment Card <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stacy Graumel | <p>The facts presented do not agree with the maps and the time that has been spent on this has produced very little useable data. This is not a viable solution as it is environmentally unsound.</p> |
| C-17 Comment Card <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Anonymous | <p>Section A was not explained & addressed. As usual, meeting was so structured that the heart of discussing Section A was not really done.</p> |
| C-18 Comment Card <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sherry(?) Ruth(?) Wooley | <p>We can not impact the Guadalupe River – too sensitive</p> |
| C-19 Comment Card <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jerry Jernigan | <p>It appears that the meetings are being held just to communicate decisions already made based on criteria predetermined by the consulting team. 2) at the teams discretion the routes are added and dropped; 3) communication or reasoning of route changes are not clearly identified.</p> <p>Please help me understand by addressing/answering the following: (see questions)</p> <p>*Bottom line: Why is the loop so close in this area?</p> <p>I have been to several meetings & no one has been able to answer this simple question.</p> <p>Even though I have always been of the opinion that the proposed loop, as shown in the study is to close...I am even more concerned now as Route A10 – B1 were chosen & no-one could answer a single question. The loop in this area is closer to town than any other route (it would appear) & on top of this it is the area with the highest growth?</p> |