

**New Braunfels Outer Loop Task Force
Meeting #6 (Sept. 21, 2009): Comment Cards Tally**

A total of 3 Comment Cards were received.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
1.The objectives of this meeting were clearly articulated.	1	1			
2.The stated objectives for this meeting were met.	1	1			
3.I felt like my time was valued and utilized well.	1		1		
4.The speakers/presenters were well prepared and informative.	1	1			
5.I believe the topics were covered adequately in the time available.	1		1		

Comments:

- (1) Still very concerned about the placement of the loop of the west and southwest side – Is there any coordination with the City of Schertz? Schertz recently widened Schwab Road (near the new Lacks). Right-of-way and land was already taken for this use. Drainage in the area is a problem since it is near the Dry Comal Creek so a huge drainage has been cemented. Is there any way this part of Schwab road can serve as the “loop” or will more land be taken to put the NBOL in place (estimated to be only a few hundred yards anyway). Why not use what is already there, even if it is part of Schertz?

- (2) The Task Force is working hard but has the initial question – “Do we need the loop at all been answered?”

- (3) If so, there seems to be a huge conflict of interest as the quarries will also gain from building the loop.

- - Why doesn’t the corridor placement on the map take into consideration the extra space needed for interchanges with other roads?

- How many extra homes will be affected by the various interchanges?

- In a recent meeting with Tom Hornseth and County Judge Scheel, on a separate issue, Judge Scheel said that the outer loop wasn’t going to be built in his or my lifetime. Why spend multimillions of dollars for a study that will be obsolete by the time this road is even started?

- Why didn’t anyone from RJ Rivera ever come out to verify that my home was being constructed during the initial study period?

- Why is there more concern about potential property value instead of preserving family heritage?

- How will new-after-acquired=information on constraints be handled? (e.g. homes not previously identified, recharge features not previously identified, archeological features not previously identified, etc...).

- C63