

**New Braunfels Outer Loop Task Force
Meeting #5 (August 17, 2009): Meeting Evaluation Forms Tally**



	Agree	Disagree	No Comment
A total of 23 Meeting Evaluation Forms were received.			
1. The objectives for this meeting were clearly articulated.	20	2	
2. The stated objectives for this meeting were met.	16	4	1
3. I felt that my time was valued and utilized well.	8	7	4
4. The handouts/presentations were appropriate and helpful.	17	3	1
5. The information from speakers/presenters was clear and informative.	10	4	3
6. The facilitator was well prepared and fair to all viewpoints.	18	1	2
7. The facilitator was helpful in framing the issues and process of the meeting.	16	1	2
8. I believe the topics were covered adequately in the time available.	16	3	2
9. There was sufficient time for public comment.	15	3	2
10. Task Force communications (i.e., materials, calls, logistics and other information) are being handled effectively.	20	1	
11. The meetings are convenient and conducive to our work (i.e., meeting time, meeting length, location, audio visual, acoustics, overall meeting space).	15	3	1
12. The overall process that the Task Force is using is effective in bringing diverse views together to come up with recommendations for inclusion into the Draft Study Report.	13	4	3

Comments regarding questions (above):

Comment Card 14

Question 4: we need most up to date maps

Comment card 11

Question 11: circled acoustics and wrote “troublesome” in response

Comments:

- During the next meeting Linda please at the beginning remind the people w/comments that they only have 3 minutes each and not to disturb the meeting.
- Call Kathy Nossaman (Edward Jones in Seguin) to assist with finding and securing location for September meeting in Seguin. (email me for information)
- Perhaps – Have questions about items being discussed submitted in writing and consultants responses back in writing all done one week and distributed prior to meeting date. All in the interest of time.

Suggestion for Guadalupe: New Braunfels Airport

- If these meeting are important should have impact why are Co & city officials not in attendance so they can hear first hand on the proceedings

Texas Agriculture Education Heritage Center on Cordova Rd. could handle a meeting – 379-1122

- Is it possible to ask the TF to read the charge by themselves and the desired results as well, instead of taking meeting time to read these.

Limit time for TF members that have a problem with certain areas instead of allowing grandstanding.

Fine with me to go to Guadalupe County –

- Were constraints an objection by the public that we are to be addressing?

- Meeting Location – Sept. Meeting

Walnut Springs Elementary NBISD

- A lot of time waster on nitpicking, after that thing went pretty well.
- A lot of people complaining now were not complaining in 2007. Does that mean it was ok then?
- Lots of minor problems on the constraints map but probably no “game changers”

Inconsistencies in constraint definitions is killing you; same w/data mapping

- (1) It would be helpful to have a way to show impact of constraints – or impact of decisions made in ranking – (over)

In other words – Is it possible to evaluate or discuss the cumulative impact of the loop on:

-quality of life in area

-green space

-downtown businesses

-on improved roads in this area

-aquifer use

-air quality – light and noise levels (pollution)

(2) For next mtg. is it possible to show on the map how much property will be needed for interchanges where loop crosses major roads – (and minor ones?)

(3) How will bisected properties be accommodated – with what criteria?

- In discussion with one Rep. he said there was a more up to date map then the one that was given to us and were posted on tables. We need to have the most up to date maps so we can respond questions we get.

Place to meet in Guadalupe County – Red barn (Ag center); Friedan Church

Can someone address if an alternative route using current roads in the area i.e. FM 2722

- The meetings could be shorter by reducing small group time.
- Everyone still needs to stay focused on the objective rather than personal agendas
- Per Linda Ximenes’s question regarding needs for next meeting:

Request: TxDOT's "Project Authorization" for this project; as reflected in Block 2 of "Planning and Authorization" – "Project Initiation" of the official "Process Development Process."

The Project Authorization should reflect the type of road ("Facility") that was authorized , why that road type was authorized, money to be spent on that authorization, etc.

- If Rudy is going to present information he needs to be more specific and not just read information on slides. He should be elaborating on items on slide

The Red Barn – Geronimo

Senior Center – Seguin

Friedens Church – Geronimo

McQueeney Lions Club (784725)

- Difficult to focus on recommendation in working group due to personal agendas.

May want to consider adjusting meeting start time to allow people additional time to travel

- Omitting water resources as a constraint was a significant oversight by RJ Rivera. Water resources weather groundwater or surface water should always be considered in environmental planning. The result was to skew the priorities of the study to undermine the importance the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone should play in this process.

Items for next meeting: Identify sources of data (specific data not general sources).