

**New Braunfels Outer Loop Task Force  
Meeting #10 (January 25, 2010): Comment Cards Tally**



A total of 7 Comment Cards were received.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
1.The objectives of this meeting were clearly articulated.		3	1		
2.The stated objectives for this meeting were met.	1	2	1		
3.I felt that my time was valued and utilized well.		1	2		
4.The speakers/presenters were well prepared and informative.		1	2		
5.I believe the topics were covered adequately in the time available.		2	1	1	

**Comments:**

- Why would you cross Schwarzlose? Go on the W side of the landfill – go on the East side – impact fewer people crossing on the East.

The entire freeway will need to be elevated – you don’t realize how the flat land floods.

The recommendation of this route will destroy more land and families.

The City Council of NB needs to realize how they are killing the culture of this community.

The whole thing is a joke – who picked the task force (Schell)?

Seems some land owners talked to the Rivera Assoc? So why didn’t we all get to talk to them to sway them our direction?

The GLO would love to have the loop go through it – more money for the state employees.

That shouldn’t be a problem.

The suggestion is that the route was chosen w/such consideration for the land owner. I don’t think so. It looks shady of why certain route were selected. Who’s making the real selection.

Crossing Schwarzlose you cut “Langford” off from his front door and “Sauceda” is wiped out. Stay away from the homes on Schwarzlose we can disagree but have really NO say.

- Kevin has done a good job trying to include historic property & cemeteries for the next group to consider.

Rudy’s explanation why Karen’s house may be gone through.

Tired of verbally attacking by alleging miss representation of homes positions

Thankful Tipton Ross asked questions about proposal for presentation before final presentation decision

I hope next meeting we don't go through this again.

Try T-M for March meeting

- A meeting was held 1/24/10 with Olivia Mosley and Faith Schumann – They have agreed to continue doing business as usual – They will continue to raise horses together – and oppose the proposed outer loop.

We oppose the Outer Loop and will never sell our properties -  
19 acres Olivia Mosely – 640 Old Bear Creek NB TX 78132  
200+ acres Faith Schumann – 539 Elm Creek Rd NB TX 78132

- It is amazing how nervous the facilitator gets when an impacted property owner tries to be “heard” since the study didn't have or take the time to see, hear & address.

Who is served by this expensive masterpiece of engineering dream (or is it boondoggle or taking) for sake others?

Get honest & transparent about #7 route meeting & “walk of property!”

- The presentations were organized and well prepared -

I just still am a believer that the idea of an outer loop surrounding NB is not necessary. Because of the issues presented with creeks, flooding, separating farm/ranch land, the entire road needs to be elevated to allow passage without causing extended aggravation & undue stress to land owners –

- 1) Why cut through prime Historic Farm/Ranch Property, stock tanks, which are needed for cattle?

2) Why not use existing roadways in Section D, such as Kohlenberg Rd (between) WM Landfill and their so called Wildlife Preserve? The roadway there would be beneficial for landfill & wildlife preserve.

3) Going along Alligator Creek on FM 758 is questioned?

- I am speaking as a landowner on FM 1044 in Section “E”. I have NEVER received any personal communication from you as an affected land owner, even though as drawn, the route will bisect my 285 acre parcel, use over 2/3 of my FM 1044 frontage, leaving only a creek to cross over for access. While the route uses over 20 acres of prime grazing land, the balance (265) will be made up of land located mostly in the 100 yr floodplain.

The arbitrary route as drawn by computer shows no “common sense” approach to a project such as this. This plan if adopted will by your own admission, not be built for 20+- years. If adopted by N.B. and Comal County, the result for landowners will be theft of property because we will be not able to use it as we see fit. All because of a “magical” line drawn on our property. If N.B. and the County want to reserve the land for the next 20+- years for their use, they should have the gonads to purchase it. Jackie R. Nolte